



BOGNOR REGIS TOWN COUNCIL

TOWN CLERK Glenna Frost, The Town Hall, Clarence Road,

Bognor Regis, West Sussex PO21 1LD

Telephone: 01243 867744

E-mail: bognortc@bognorregis.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

I hereby give you Notice that a Meeting of the Planning and Licensing Committee of the Bognor Regis Town Council will be held at The Town Hall, Clarence Road, Bognor Regis at **6.30pm on TUESDAY 7th JANUARY 2020.**

All Members of the Planning and Licensing Committee are **HEREBY SUMMONED** to attend for the purpose of considering and resolving upon the Business to be transacted, as set out hereunder. An opportunity will be afforded to **Members of the Public** to put **Questions** to the Committee during an adjournment shortly after the meeting has commenced. (NOTE: Members of the public will be asked to provide their names and addresses and are encouraged to put questions in advance, in writing. Priority will be given to written questions. Questions should be restricted to the functions of this Committee.)

Refreshments will be available and any donations to the Mayor's Charity will be gratefully received.

DATED this 23rd day of DECEMBER 2019

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL

THE AGENDA and BUSINESS to be TRANSACTED is:

1. Chairman's Announcements and Apologies for Absence
2. Declarations of Interest
Members and Officers are invited to make any declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary and/or Ordinary Interests that they may have in relation to items on this agenda and are reminded that they should re-declare their Interest before consideration of the item or as soon as the Interest becomes apparent and if not previously included on their Register of Interests to notify the Monitoring Officer within 28 days.
Members and Officers should make their declaration by stating:
 - a) the item they have the Interest in
 - b) whether it is a Disclosable Pecuniary or Ordinary Interest
 - c) the nature of the Interest
 - d) if it is an Ordinary Interest whether they intend to leave the room for the discussion and vote
 - e) if it is a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest, and therefore must leave the room for the discussion and vote, whether they will be exercising their right to speak on this matter under Public Question Time

3. To approve the Minutes of the Planning and Licensing Committee Meeting held on 17th December 2019
4. Adjournment for public question time and statements
5. Clerk's Report from previous Minutes
6. To consider Planning Applications on Lists dated 20th December 2019
7. To consider commenting on any significant Planning Applications outside the wards of Bognor Regis, that may impact on the infrastructure of Bognor Regis on Lists dated 20th December 2019
8. To resolve to suspend Standing Orders (S.O. 16.1) to consider revisiting the previous decision, or making further comment, following correspondence received from a Senior Planning Officer at Arun District Council, regarding Planning Application BR/227/19/PL - 3 Southdown Road, Bognor Regis (Min. 93.3 of 17th September 2019 refers)
9. To receive correspondence from a Senior Planning Officer at Arun District Council, regarding Planning Application BR/227/19/PL - 3 Southdown Road, Bognor Regis (Min. 93.3 of 17th September 2019 refers)
10. To resolve to suspend Standing Orders (S.O. 16.1) to consider revisiting the previous decision, or making further comment, following substitute plans and details of colours and finishes submitted by the applicant, regarding Planning Application BR/120/19/PL - The Bandstand, The Promenade, Bognor Regis (Min. 127 of 29th October 2019 refers)
11. To receive an update regarding Planning Application BR/120/19/PL - The Bandstand, The Promenade, Bognor Regis (Min. 127 of 29th October 2019 refers)
12. Notification of any applications to be considered at the next ADC Development Control Committee Meeting
13. To consider Premises Licence Applications, including any variations and any other Licence Applications
14. To receive details of the 'Strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments' Government consultation, in readiness to consider submitting any comments, to the National Association of Local Councils, at the next Committee Meeting
15. To consider individual responses from Members, emailed to Civic & Office Manager as requested (Min. 167 refers), and to agree any Committee comment to be submitted in response to Arun District Council's final report into Green Infrastructure
16. Correspondence

ALL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE WELCOME TO ATTEND

THERE IS A LIFT AVAILABLE AT THE TOWN HALL FOR ACCESS TO THE COUNCIL CHAMBER IF REQUIRED



BOGNOR REGIS TOWN COUNCIL

TOWN CLERK Glenna Frost, The Town Hall, Clarence Road,

Bognor Regis, West Sussex PO21 1LD

Telephone: 01243 867744

E-mail: bognortc@bognorregis.gov.uk

MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE MEETING

HELD ON TUESDAY 17th DECEMBER 2019

PRESENT: Cllr. S. Goodheart (Chairman), Cllrs: J. Barrett, J. Erskine (during Min. 162.1) and Ms. A. Sharples

IN ATTENDANCE: Mrs. J. Davis (Civic & Office Manager)

The Meeting opened at 6.31pm

158. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

The Chairman welcomed those present and went through the evacuation procedure in the event of a fire.

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr. Smith on medical grounds, although Members noted that it would have been difficult for Cllr. Smith to have attended the meeting as the lift at the Town Hall was out of service. Apologies for absence were also received from Cllr. Mrs. Warr who had another engagement. No apologies for absence had been received from Cllr. Mrs. Daniells.

159. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members and Officers were reminded to make any declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary and/or Ordinary Interests that they may have in relation to items on this Agenda.

Members and Officers should make their declaration by stating:

- a) the item they have the Interest in
- b) whether it is a Disclosable Pecuniary or Ordinary Interest
- c) the nature of the Interest
- d) if it is an Ordinary Interest whether they intend to leave the room for the discussion and vote
- e) if it is a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest, and therefore must leave the room for the discussion and vote, whether they will be exercising their right to speak on this matter under Public Question Time

They then need to re-declare their Interest and the nature of the Interest at the commencement of the item or when the Interest becomes apparent. They should request that it be recorded in the Minutes that they will leave the meeting and will neither take part in discussion, nor vote on the item.

In accordance with good practice, individual forms were available to those Councillors present in order that they could personally record their Interests - both Disclosable Pecuniary and Ordinary. These forms should be returned to the Committee Clerk at the end of the meeting to enable all declarations of Interest to be accurately recorded in the Minutes. Members were reminded that it is their responsibility to notify the Monitoring Officer of all Disclosable Pecuniary Interests or Ordinary Interests notifiable under the Council's Code of Conduct, not already recorded on their Register of Interests Form, within 28 days.

The Chairman reminded Members to declare their Interests as any arise or again at the relative point in the meeting if they have already.

There were no declarations of Interest

160. TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 3rd DECEMBER 2019

The Committee **RESOLVED** to **AGREE** and approve the Minutes of the Meeting held on 3rd December 2019 as an accurate record of the proceedings and the Chairman signed them.

161. ADJOURNMENT FOR PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND STATEMENTS

There were no members of the public present in the gallery.

162. CLERK'S REPORT FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

Min. 152.3 - 3rd December 2019 - Planning Application BR/306/19/HH - 28 Arun Road, Bognor Regis

With Members of the Town Council's Planning and Licensing Committee having resolved to agree that an objection to planning application BR/306/19/HH be submitted, the District Councillors for the Ward within which the property is located were written to and asked to support the Town Council in requesting that the application be referred to Arun District Council's (ADC's) Development Control Committee for decision.

Members were informed that both District Councillors for the Ward had written to the Chairman of the Development Control Committee in support of the request. Having reviewed the matter, the Chairman agreed that the request fitted within the call in process as stated in ADC's Constitution and had asked that planning application BR/306/19/HH be added to the Agenda for the next Development Control Meeting for a Committee decision.

163. TO CONSIDER PLANNING APPLICATIONS ON LISTS DATED 6th AND 13th DECEMBER 2019

163.1 The Committee noted that there were no views from other Town Councillors to report.

163.2 The Committee noted that no representations had been received from members of the public, or from neighbouring parishes, in respect of these applications.

163.3 The Committee, having considered the applications, **RESOLVED** that its representations be forwarded to ADC (Appended to these Minutes as **Appendix 1**).

164. TO CONSIDER COMMENTING ON ANY SIGNIFICANT PLANNING APPLICATIONS OUTSIDE THE WARDS OF BOGNOR REGIS, THAT MAY IMPACT ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF BOGNOR REGIS ON LISTS DATED 6th AND 13th DECEMBER 2019

There were none.

165. NOTIFICATION OF ANY APPLICATIONS TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE NEXT ADC DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE MEETING

There had been no notifications received.

166. TO CONSIDER PREMISES LICENCE APPLICATIONS INCLUDING ANY VARIATIONS AND ANY OTHER LICENCE APPLICATIONS

There were no Premises Licence applications to consider.

167. TO RECEIVE DETAILS OF ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL'S FINAL REPORT INTO GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE IN READINESS TO CONSIDER ANY COMMENTS IN RESPONSE AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING TO BE HELD 7th JANUARY 2020

The Civic & Office Manager's report was **NOTED**. Members were reminded that individual responses to the Green Infrastructure document must be submitted to the Civic & Office Manager by no later than 5pm on Friday 3rd January 2020.

168. CORRESPONDENCE

The Committee **NOTED** receipt of correspondence previously circulated. In addition, the following was brought to Members attention:

Additional correspondence included: -

168.1 CPRE - Campaigns Update, December 2019.

The Meeting closed at 6.56pm

APPENDIX 1
PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 17th DECEMBER 2019
REPRESENTATIONS ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS ON LISTS DATED 6th AND 13th DECEMBER 2019

The Planning and Licensing Committee of Bognor Regis Town Council **RESOLVED** as follows:

<p>BR/324/19/HH Breeze Belmont Street Bognor Regis PO21 1LE</p>	<p>Single storey front and side extension. (This application may affect the setting of a Listed Building)</p>	<p>NO OBJECTION</p>
<p>BR/326/19/PL Flat 68A Aldwick Road Bognor Regis PO21 2PE</p>	<p>New entrance to flat</p>	<p>NO OBJECTION</p>
<p>BR/338/19/T 4 The Orchard Close Bognor Regis PO21 2PT</p>	<p>Trim branches over roof to 4m clearance 1 No. Oak tree</p>	<p>NO OBJECTION subject to the approval of the ADC Arboriculturist. It is recommended, if approved, that no work should be carried out if any birds or bats are nesting.</p>

AGENDA ITEM 14

BOGNOR REGIS TOWN COUNCIL PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE MEETING - 7th JANUARY 2020

AGENDA ITEM 14 - TO RECEIVE DETAILS OF THE 'STRENGTHENING POLICE POWERS TO TACKLE UNAUTHORISED ENCAMPMENTS' GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION, IN READINESS TO CONSIDER SUBMITTING ANY COMMENTS, TO THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF LOCAL COUNCILS, AT THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING

REPORT BY THE CIVIC & OFFICE MANAGER

FOR INFORMATION

The Home Office has issued a new consultation on strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments. The consultation document is attached to this report as **Appendix 1**.

The National Association of Local Councils (NALC) has produced an accompanying briefing summarising the consultation, NALC's previous views on the matter and the key questions NALC will be responding to. This briefing will be made available to Members at the meeting.

To help NALC in their response they would like to hear from local councils by no later than 19th February 2020.

This will therefore be referred to the next Committee Meeting for consideration of any comments to be submitted in response.



Home Office

Strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments

Government consultation

This consultation begins on 05/11/2019

This consultation ends on 05/03/2020

About this consultation

To: This consultation is open to the public.

We will be particularly interested to hear from local authorities, police forces, Gypsy, Roma, and Travelling communities and the general public.

Duration: From 05/11/2019 to 05/03/2020

Enquiries to: Strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments consultation
Police Powers Unit
Home Office
6th Floor, Fry Building
2 Marsham Street
London
SW1P 4DF

Email:
UnauthorisedEncampmentsConsultation@homeoffice.gov.uk

How to respond: Please provide your response by 05/03/2020 at:
www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-police-powers-to-tackle-unauthorised-encampments

If you are unable to use the online system, for example because you use specialist accessibility software that is not compatible with the system, you may download a word document version of the form and email or post it to:

Strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments consultation
Police Powers Unit
Home Office
6th floor, Fry Building
Home Office
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

Email:
UnauthorisedEncampmentsConsultation@homeoffice.gov.uk

Please also contact the Police Powers Unit (as above) if you require information in any other format, such as Braille, audio or another language. We cannot analyse responses not submitted in these provided formats.

Response paper: A response to this consultation exercise is due to be published at <https://www.gov.uk/search/policy-papers-and-consultations>

Contents

1. Foreword by the Home Secretary	5
2. Executive summary	6
3. Introduction	7
4. The proposals	9
5. About you	17
6. Contact details and how to respond	18
7. Impact of Proposals	20
8. Consultation Questions	22
9. Consultation principles	25

1. Foreword by the Home Secretary

We are fortunate to live in one of the most tolerant countries in the world, which has a proud tradition of promoting respect for the rule of law, for property, and for one another. This Government is committed to creating a just and fair country, where equality of opportunity flourishes and the life chances of all are enhanced. I am clear that that this must be built on shared rights, responsibilities and opportunities.

In April 2018, the Government published a consultation on the effectiveness of enforcement against unauthorised developments and encampments. It sought views from a number of stakeholders including local authorities, police forces, Gypsy, Roma, and Traveller communities and the general public on the scale of the problem, whether existing powers could be used more effectively and if any additional powers were required.

In response to the consultation my predecessor, the Rt Hon Sajid Javid MP, announced the Government would look to amend sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to lower the criteria that must be met for the police to be able to direct people away from unauthorised sites.

He also confirmed Home Office officials would review how this Government could criminalise the act of trespassing when setting up an unauthorised encampment in England and Wales, learning from the trespass legislation that exists in the Republic of Ireland. This consultation document sets out the information gathered during that consultation, makes proposals for change and seeks views on those proposals.

This document consults on whether criminalising unauthorised encampments would be preferable to the amendments we originally proposed to the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, and if so, how it should work. It sets out a proposed package of measures in some detail, as well as some more general questions.

The Government recognises that the proposals contained in this consultation are of interest to a significant minority of Gypsies, Roma and Travellers who continue to travel. The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities, in a way that facilitates their traditional and nomadic way of life while also respecting the interests of the wider community. In June this year the Government announced that the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government will lead development of a cross-government strategy to improve outcomes for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities.



Rt Hon Priti Patel MP

Home Secretary

2. Executive summary

We would like to consult on measures to;

- Criminalise the act of trespassing when setting up an unauthorised encampment in England and Wales.

We would also like to consult on the following alternative approach to this issue:

- Amending section 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to permit the police to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites located in neighbouring local authority areas.
- Amending sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to increase the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return from 3 months to 12 months.
- Amending section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to lower the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised from six to two or more vehicles.
- Amending section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to enable the police to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway.

This consultation is open until 05/03/2020; details of how to respond are set out towards the front of this document.

3. Introduction

The vast majority of travelling communities reside in caravans on authorised traveller sites. Indeed, out of the 23,726 caravans in England and Wales in July 2018, only 1049 (4.4%) were on unauthorised sites that were not owned by the occupants. However, there have been long-standing concerns about the disproportionate impact of these unauthorised encampments, where significant distress has been caused to local communities and where local authorities have consequently had to deal with a range of issues.

Recognising these concerns, the Government published a consultation in April 2018 on the effectiveness of enforcement against unauthorised developments and encampments. Through that consultation, we sought views from a number of stakeholders including local authorities, police forces, travelling communities and the general public on whether there is anything we can do to ensure that existing powers can be used more effectively and if additional powers are required. It was led by the Ministry for Housing, Communities and Local Government in partnership with the Home Office and Ministry of Justice.

The responses to the consultation were clear¹, suggesting that significant problems are created by many unauthorised encampments. Responses highlighted the sense of unease and intimidation residents feel when an unauthorised encampment occurs, the frustration at not being able to access amenities, public land and business premises, and the waste and cost that is left once the encampment has moved on.

Parliament has already given local authorities and the police significant powers and duties designed to help them manage the impact of unauthorised encampments on local communities, including local authority and police powers in the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.

However, the Government heard compelling evidence, in response to the consultation, that stronger powers are needed to be able to address the issues and concerns identified.

That is why in February 2019, the previous Home Secretary announced that the Government would publish a further consultation on extending police powers by making a series of amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994. These amendments would permit the police to direct trespassers to suitable alternative sites located in neighbouring local authority areas (as well as the authority which the encampment was currently situated within); to increase the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return from three, to twelve months; to lower the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised from six to two vehicles; and to enable the police to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway.

The Government also heard arguments that England and Wales should follow the so-called 'Irish model' for dealing with unauthorised encampments. This approach

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/powers-for-dealing-with-unauthorised-development-and-encampments>

criminalises trespass in certain circumstances. The responses to our consultation demonstrated that the majority of respondents believe the Government should consider criminalising unauthorised encampments in England and Wales, by creating an offence of trespassing when setting up an unauthorised encampment.

That is why the previous Home Secretary announced that Home Office officials would undertake a review into how this Government can criminalise the act of trespassing when setting up an unauthorised encampment in England and Wales, learning from the trespass legislation that exists in the Republic of Ireland.

Having considered the findings from that review, we would like to test the appetite to go further and broaden the existing categories of criminal trespass to cover trespassers on land who are there with the purpose of residing in their vehicle for any period, and to give the police the relevant powers to arrest offenders in situ and to seize any vehicles or other property on existing unauthorised encampments (or those in the process of being set up) immediately.

We are therefore consulting on whether and how the setting up of or residing on an unauthorised encampment should be made an offence, as well as seeking views on the previously proposed changes to the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to lower the criteria that must be met for the police to be able to direct people away from unauthorised sites, which could be introduced as an alternative to criminalisation.

4. The proposals

This chapter sets out options to extend police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments, including the creation of an offence of trespassing while setting up an unauthorised encampment, as well as other measures to extend police powers to direct trespassers, who have the intention to reside there, to leave land.

4.1 Criminalising Unauthorised Encampments

Through the Government's consultation on the effectiveness of enforcement against unauthorised developments and encampments, the majority of respondents said they believe we should consider criminalising unauthorised encampments, as has been done in the Republic of Ireland. A similar offence also exists in Scotland.

The Republic of Ireland: Criminal trespass and site provision

The Irish Government has criminalised trespass in certain circumstances, in conjunction with a statutory requirement for local authorities to provide traveller sites. In response to concerns about trespassers occupying public spaces and private land, the Irish Republic introduced the Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 2002² (the Act).

The Act made it an offence for any person to enter and occupy land without the owner's permission - or bring any "object" on to the land - if this is likely to "substantially damage" the land or interfere with it.

The offence contained in Section 24 of the Act has the effect of criminalising trespassers who occupy land without consent. The legislation does not amount to a ban on all unauthorised encampments. It criminalises encampments that 'substantially' damage the land or prevent use of the land by the owner or other lawful users.

The Act gives the Irish police discretion to direct trespassers to leave land if it is suspected that this offence is being committed. Failure to comply with a direction is also punishable by a fine and/or a one-month prison sentence. It is for the police to consider which approach to adopt depending on the individual circumstances of the case and the encampment.

Scotland: Criminal trespass

Under the Trespass (Scotland) Act 1865, it is an offence to occupy private land without the permission of the landowner³

² <http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/eli/2002/act/9/section/24/enacted/en/html#sec24b>

³ <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/28-29/56>

It was generally viewed by respondents to the consultation in 2018 that criminalisation of unauthorised encampments would act as a deterrent to future encampments and allow the police to enforce removal of trespassers in a timelier fashion. Advantages were seen in financial terms in both the cost of evicting trespassers and clean-up costs.

We would like to gather views on broadening the existing categories of criminal trespass.

The Government could make it an offence to enter or occupy land subject to certain conditions being met. We would welcome your views on what the conditions and threshold for this offence should be. For example, in the Republic of Ireland it is a criminal offence to enter or occupy land without the landowner's consent or bring any "object" on to the land - if this is likely to cause "substantial damage". Imposing conditions such as a need to require proof that damage or harm has been caused will help limit prosecutions to cases where there is an element of public disorder for which there is an interest to protect against and explicitly reflect the balance between land owners' rights to peaceful enjoyment of their property and travellers' rights to privacy and family life.

Question

Q1: To what extent do you agree or disagree that knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Question

Q2: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the act of knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it with vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

The Government could stipulate that the landowner or representatives of the landowner must take reasonable steps to ask trespassers to leave. This would help the police to demonstrate where a trespasser is **knowingly** trespassing. However, in some instances, landowners may feel afraid to approach trespassers.

Question

Q3: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the landowner or representatives of the landowner should take reasonable steps to ask persons occupying their land to remove themselves and their possessions before occupation of the land can be considered a criminal offence?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Question

Q4: To what extent do you agree or disagree that a criminal offence can only be committed when the following conditions have been met?

a) the encampment prevents people entitled to use the land from making use of it;

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

b) the encampment is causing or is likely to cause damage to the land or amenities;

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

c) those on the encampment have demanded money from the landowner to vacate the land; and/or

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

d) those on the encampment are involved or are likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour.

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Question

Q5: What other conditions not covered in the above should we consider?

4.2 Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994

Under Section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, the police have powers that allow them to direct trespassers to leave land. The requirements of these powers are currently:

- I. that the trespassers have an intention to reside on the land for any period;
- II. that the occupier or someone on the occupier's behalf has taken reasonable steps to ask the trespassers to leave;
- III. that: either (a)
 - any of the trespassers have caused damage to land or property; or
 - that any of the trespassers have used threatening, abusive or insulting words or behaviour towards the occupier, a member of the occupier's family or an employee or agent of the occupier;or (b) that the trespassers have between them six or more vehicles on the land.

Section 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 allows the police to direct trespassers to remove themselves and their vehicles and property from land on which they have the intention to reside where a suitable pitch is available within the same local authority area. The police must consult every local authority within whose area the land is situated to confirm if a suitable pitch is available on a relevant site.

Responses to the consultation from the police and some local authorities highlighted how a lack of availability of transit sites means that they are unable to exercise some of their existing powers such as section 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 which provides a power to remove trespassers to alternative available sites.

We would welcome views on whether to amend section 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to permit the police to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites located in neighbouring local authority areas.

Extending this power would make it more likely that the police could act where there is a shortage of site capacity in one particular area. However, we believe that such changes may need to be subject to conditions around:

- Agreements being in place between local authorities. Local authorities have advised us that the use of such a power without agreements in place would deter them from creating more authorised sites. This would be counterproductive.
- A maximum distance that trespassers should be directed across. In some rural areas, a site in a neighbouring local authority area could be several hours drive away. It could be considered unreasonable to relocate someone that far.

Question

Q6: To what extent do you agree or disagree that police should be given the power to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites in a neighbouring local authority area?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Q7: Should this be subject to conditions around agreements being in place between local authorities?

Q8: Should there be a maximum distance that a trespasser can be directed across?

Yes / No

If yes, what distance should that be?

Q9: Should there be any other conditions that should be considered when directing a trespasser across neighbouring authorities.

Yes / No

If yes, what should these be?

Failure to comply with a police direction under Section 61 or 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 is a criminal offence punishable by a fine and/or a custodial sentence of up to three months' imprisonment, as is re-entry onto the land by persons subject to the direction within three months.

Respondents to the consultation suggested that the current three-month period during which a trespasser is prohibited from returning to a location once directed from the site by the police should be increased.

We would welcome views on whether to amend sections 61 and 62A to increase the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return from three months to twelve months. This would provide greater protection to land targeted by the same group of trespassers on a regular basis.

Question

Q10: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return should be increased from three months to twelve months?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 grants police the power to direct trespassers to leave if there are six or more vehicles present on the land they are trespassing on. However, if there are fewer than six vehicles present, police do not obtain the power to direct trespassers to leave.

We would welcome views on whether to amend section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to lower the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment from six to two, before police powers can be exercised. This will increase the opportunity for police intervention where smaller encampments are present.

Question

Q11: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised should be lowered from six to two vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

We would welcome views on whether to amend section 61 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 to enable the police to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway. The police are currently restricted in dealing with these encampments unless there is a suitable pitch in the same local authority area. This could make it easier for the police to tackle problematic encampments.

Question

Q12: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

We believe giving the police powers to seize property, including vehicles, could enable the police to remove unauthorised encampments more quickly and act as deterrent to setting up an unauthorised encampment. We would welcome views on whether to grant police powers to seize property from trespassers and in what circumstances they should have these powers.

Question

Q13: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to seize property, including vehicles, from trespassers who are on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

Q14: Should the police be able to seize the property of:

- i) Anyone whom they suspect to be trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it;
- ii) Anyone they arrest for trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it; or
- iii) Anyone convicted of trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Please explain your answer

As stated earlier, we would envisage that the above amendments to the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 would be as an alternative to criminalising unauthorised encampments, rather than in addition to.

Question

Q15: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation are sufficient measures to tackle the public disorder issues which are associated with unauthorised encampments without the requirement for introducing specific powers that criminalise unauthorised encampments?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Please explain your answer

4.3 Impacts on the Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities

While there are clear challenges presented to settled communities by unauthorised encampments, it is also highly likely that such unlawful encampments can lead to significant hardships for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities themselves.

The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community. Therefore, we would welcome views on any adverse impacts that these proposals could have on the Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities.

Question

Q16: Do you expect that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation would have a positive or negative impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities?

Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact

If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts?

Question

Q17: Do you expect that criminalising unauthorised encampments would have a positive or negative impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities?

Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact

If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts?

4.4 Other Comments

Question

Q18: Do you have any other comments to make on the issue of unauthorised encampments not specifically addressed by any of the questions above?

5. About you

Please use this section to tell us about yourself

Q19: Full name	
Q20: Job title or capacity in which you are responding to this consultation exercise (for example, member of the public)	
Q21: Date	
Q22: Company name/organisation (if applicable)	
Q23: Address	
Q24: Postcode	
Q25: If you would like us to acknowledge receipt of your response, please tick this box	(please tick box)
Address to which the acknowledgement should be sent, if different from above	

Q26: If you are a representative of a group, please tell us the name of the group and give a summary of the people or organisations that you represent.

6. Contact details and how to respond

Please respond using the online system available at:

www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-police-powers-to-tackle-unauthorised-encampments

Please submit your response by 05/03/2020

You are unable to use the online system, for example because you use specialist accessibility software that is not compatible with the system, you may download a word document version of the form and email it or post it to:

Strengthening police powers to tackle unauthorised encampments consultation
Police Powers Unit
Home Office
6th Floor NW, Fry Building
Home Office
2 Marsham Street
LONDON
SW1P 4DF

Email: UnauthorisedEncampmentsConsultation@homeoffice.gov.uk

Complaints or comments

If you have any complaints or comments about the consultation process you should contact the Home Office at the above address.

Extra copies

Further paper copies of this consultation can be obtained from this address and it is also available online at www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-police-powers-to-tackle-unauthorised-encampments

Alternative format versions of this publication can be requested from:
UnauthorisedEncampmentsConsultation@homeoffice.gov.uk

Publication of response

A paper summarising the responses to this consultation will be published in [insert publication date, which as far as possible should be within three months of the closing date of the consultation] months' time. The response paper will be available online at www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-police-powers-to-tackle-unauthorised-encampments

Representative groups

Representative groups are asked to give a summary of the people and organisations they represent when they respond.

Confidentiality

Information provided in response to this consultation, including personal information, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA) and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004).

If you want the information that you provide to be treated as confidential, please be aware that, under the FOIA, there is a statutory Code of Practice with which public authorities must comply and which deals, amongst other things, with obligations of confidence. In view of this it would be helpful if you could explain to us why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. If we receive a request for disclosure of the information we will take full account of your explanation, but we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances. An automatic confidentiality disclaimer generated by your IT system will not, of itself, be regarded as binding on the Home Office.

The Home Office will process your personal data in accordance with the DPA and in the majority of circumstances, this will mean that your personal data will not be disclosed to third parties.

7. Impact of Proposals

Impact Assessment

In accordance with the Better Regulation Framework Manual issued by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS)⁴, an initial assessment of the impact of these proposals has been carried out and no material financial impact on business, charities or voluntary bodies is envisaged. Impact on the public sector, such as the police and the Crown Prosecution Service, is expected to be relatively minor.

Equalities Statement

Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 places a duty on Ministers and Departments, when exercising their functions, to have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate conduct which is unlawful under the 2010 Act, advance equality of opportunity between different groups and foster good relationships between different groups.

In accordance with these duties, we have considered the impact of the proposed changes on those sharing protected characteristics in order to give due regard to the matters mentioned above.

Eliminating unlawful discrimination

The Traveller community includes Romany Gypsies and English, Scottish, Welsh and Irish Travellers are legally recognised as ethnic groups under the Equality Act 2010.

We recognise that the proposals outlined in this document could have an adverse impact on some members of this minority group. Indeed, in response to the original consultation, some traveller groups, human rights groups and legal organisations told us that criminalising trespass would be a disproportionate response that would impact on their way of life. However, we also recognise the distress that local communities and businesses face as a result of unauthorised encampments. While we recognise that not all unauthorised encampments cause disruption and impact communities, there is evidence that shows where this is the case, the financial costs falling to landowners to evict and to clear sites along with the impact to the community can be significant.

The Home Office will seek views on all proposals and any mitigating actions to limit any disproportionate impact on the Travelling community, as well as any indirect impacts on other protected characteristics, such as disability. The Public Sector Equality Duty is an ongoing duty that will be kept under review as we develop the policy.

⁴ See: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/better-regulation-framework-manual>

Advancing equality of opportunity between different groups

We recognise the rights of Romany Gypsies and English, Scottish, Welsh and Irish Travellers to follow a nomadic way of life in line with their cultural heritage.

The vast majority of the Traveller community, estimated to be over 80%, live in caravans staying on permanent public and private sites which have planning permission, or in residences of bricks and mortar. A small minority of Gypsies and Traveller caravans that are classed as unauthorised are those staying in one area and are likely to be on local authority housing waiting lists, those who travel seasonally for work and a very small number who travel across the country.

The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities, in a way that facilitates their traditional and nomadic way of life while also respecting the interests of the wider community. In June this year the Government announced that the Ministry of Housing Communities and Local Government will lead development of a cross-government strategy to improve outcomes in areas including health, education and employment for Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities.

The Home Office will seek views on all proposals and any mitigating actions to limit any disproportionate impact of the Travelling community.

Fostering good relationships between different groups

It is possible that these new measures could lead to a reduction in unauthorised encampments, which in turn could improve relations. On the other hand, it is also possible that coverage of these measures could reinforce prejudices against Travellers, even those who are compliant with the law.

The Home Office will seek views on all proposals and any mitigating actions to limit any disproportionate impact of the Travelling community.

8. Consultation Questions

Q1. To what extent do you agree or disagree that knowingly entering without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q2. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the act of knowingly entering land without the landowner's permission should only be made a criminal offence if it is for the purpose of residing on it with vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q3. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the landowner or representatives of the landowner should take reasonable steps to ask persons occupying their land to remove themselves and their possessions before occupation of the land can be considered a criminal offence?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q4. To what extent do you agree or disagree that a criminal offence can only be committed when the following conditions have been met?

a) the encampment prevents people entitled to use the land from making use of it;

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

b) the encampment is causing or is likely to cause damage to the land or amenities;

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

c) those on the encampment have demanded money from the landowner to vacate the land; and/or

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

d) those on the encampment are involved or are likely to be involved in anti-social behaviour.

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q5. What other conditions not covered in the above should we consider?

Q6. To what extent do you agree or disagree that police should be given the power to direct trespassers to suitable authorised sites in a neighbouring local authority area?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q7: Should this be subject to conditions around agreements being in place between local authorities?

Yes / No

Q8: Should there be a maximum distance that a trespasser can be directed across?

Yes / No

If yes, what distance should that be?

Q9: Should there be any other conditions that should be considered when directing a trespasser across neighbouring authorities. If so, what should these be?

Yes / No

If yes, what should these be?

Q10. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the period of time in which trespassers directed from land would be unable to return should be increased from 3 months to 12 months?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q11. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the number of vehicles needing to be involved in an unauthorised encampment before police powers can be exercised should be lowered from six to two vehicles?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q12. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to remove trespassers from land that forms part of the highway?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q13: To what extent do you agree or disagree that the police should be granted the power to seize property, including vehicles, from trespassers who are on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q14: Should the police be able to seize the property of:

- i) Anyone whom they suspect to be trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it;
- ii) Anyone they arrest for trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it; or
- iii) Anyone convicted of trespassing on land with the purpose of residing on it?

Q15. To what extent do you agree or disagree that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation are sufficient measures to tackle the public disorder issues which are associated with unauthorised encampments without the requirement for introducing specific powers that criminalise unauthorised encampments?

Strongly agree / Agree / Neither agree or disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree

Q16. Do you expect that the proposed amendments to sections 61 and 62A of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 contained in this consultation would have a positive or negative impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities? If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts?

Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact

Q17. Do you expect that criminalising unauthorised encampments would have a positive or negative impact on the health or educational outcomes of Gypsy, Roma and Traveller communities? If so, do you have any evidence to support this view, and/or suggestions for what could be done to mitigate or prevent any negative impacts?

Highly positive impact / Positive impact / Neither positive nor negative impact / Negative impact / Highly negative impact

Q18. Do you have any other comments to make on the issue of unauthorised encampments not specifically addressed by any of the questions above?

9. Consultation principles

The principles that government departments and other public bodies should adopt for engaging stakeholders when developing policy and legislation are set out in the consultation principles.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/consultation-principles-guidance>



© Crown copyright 2019

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3 or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/government/consultations/strengthening-police-powers-to-tackle-unauthorised-encampments

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at public.enquiries@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk.